

REMARKS

The amendments and the new claims are believed to be clearly supported by the original disclosure of this application. Also, it is believed that no extra claim filing fee is needed in view of the cancellation of claims 4,7 and 14.

Substantive amendments to claims 1, 8 and 15 are supported, for example, by original claims 2 and 4. Also, claim 15 finds supports at originally filed claim 18.

New Claim 24 and its dependant claims are similarly supported by the above-cited disclosure and the specification of this application.


Amendments to the specification are for the purpose of correcting clerical errors. The page 4 Amendment is supported by original claims 2, 9, and 16.

Also, a drawing amendment is enclosed, with further corrections of clerical errors.

Please note that present claims 21 and 22 are proposed interference counts which correspond substantially to claims of granted U.S. Patent No. 6, 612,928, while present claim 23 is a proposed count which corresponds *exactly* to claim 32 of the '928 patent.

Respectfully submitted

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP



George H. Gerstman
Registration No. 22,419
Attorney for Applicant

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
55 East Monroe Street, Suite 4200
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 269-8567